

# Research Project Proposal Writing

by  
John Trinder

Emeritus Professor  
University of NSW, Australia



# Content:

1. What is research
2. Purpose of a Proposal
3. Types of Proposals
4. Contents of a Proposal
5. Evaluation Process
6. Do's and Dont's

# 1. Types of research and how it is funded

**Fundamental or pure research:** is about searching for knowledge without with no obligations on outcomes

Normally funded by governments

**Applied Research, Development Projects and Practical Implementations** are usually funded on a contract with delivery obligations eg a marketable product, software or technique that could be implemented for production

May be funded by government, private companies or individuals

Approximately 85% of funds are usually committed to applied research and 15% on theoretical research

# 1. Types of research and how it is funded

**According to OECD**, about 2/3 of R&D on scientific and technical fields is carried out by industries 20% and 10% respectively by universities and government

**The research is an ongoing process of correcting and refining hypotheses**, which should lead to the acceptance of certain scientific truths.

No scientific proof can be accepted as ultimate fact  
Rigorous testing/observations enable presumptions to become accepted facts

Research enables gradual building of knowledge.

## 2. Purpose of a Proposal

The purpose of a proposal is to persuade the reader to sponsor a grant or to provide some funds.

A proposal is a written document to a sponsor

The sponsor may be public or private

### Typical public sponsors are:

- US National Science Foundation (NSF)
- EU Programs under FP7,
- National Research Councils in many countries (e.g. NRC Canada, DFG Germany, British Council, Australian Research Council etc.)
- Research Grants Council of Hong Kong
- Qatar National Research Fund

# 1. Types of research

## Private Sponsors are mainly Foundations:

- In the US there are 43 000 Private Foundations, awarding 8B\$ annually. These are established by about 1/3 of the 2.5M US Corporations.
- There is a US Federal Law, that 5% of the market value assets or interest income, whichever is higher must be turned over to non-profit organizations.
- The conditions for a grant are up to the sponsor under the legal constraints motivated by profit
- They are much less likely than governments to fund research projects solely for the sake of knowledge

## 2. Types of Proposals:

The sponsor determines the purpose of funding

- **Fundamental research**
  - returns expected in 25 years
  - outcome uncertain
  - typically 10% of research funding
- **Applied research** - returns expected in 15 years
  - outcome predictable
- **Development** - returns expected in 5 years
  - outcome expected
- **Practical execution of a task**
  - returns expected on project completion

## 2. Types of Proposals:

The Internet contains many references to writing project proposals

Most are from the US – they even include details of fund raising and how to find a sponsor.

Of interest is a school library project in the US States, for which grant applications must be made by school districts,

Their success is based in the quality of the application:

([www.schoollibraryjournal.com](http://www.schoollibraryjournal.com))

|            |                          |
|------------|--------------------------|
| New York   | obtained 1\$ per student |
| California | 37\$ per student         |
| Oklahoma   | 70\$ per student         |
| Alaska     | 132\$ per student        |

### 3. Contents of a Proposal:

Internet references from the US are:

[www.foundationcenter.org](http://www.foundationcenter.org)

[www.mtsu.edu](http://www.mtsu.edu)

<http://research.microsoft.com>

[www.research.umich.edu](http://www.research.umich.edu)

[www.nsf.gov](http://www.nsf.gov)

[www.tgci.com](http://www.tgci.com)

[www.wpi.edu](http://www.wpi.edu)

[www.wpi.edu](http://www.wpi.edu)

[www.mcf.org](http://www.mcf.org)

[www.cs.uiowa.edu](http://www.cs.uiowa.edu)

[www.scn.org](http://www.scn.org)

<http://grants.library.wisc.edu>

[www.professionalpractice.asme.org](http://www.professionalpractice.asme.org)

[www.gsa.gov/fdac/queryfdac.htm](http://www.gsa.gov/fdac/queryfdac.htm)

[www.jmu.edu](http://www.jmu.edu)

### 3. Contents of a Proposal:

#### Non-US Internet References:

<http://scottish-enterprise.com>

[http://ec.europa.eu/research/fp6/index\\_en.cmf?p=0\\_doc](http://ec.europa.eu/research/fp6/index_en.cmf?p=0_doc)

[www.funding.aau.dk/eufund.htm](http://www.funding.aau.dk/eufund.htm)

[www.mdx.ac.uk](http://www.mdx.ac.uk)

[www.education.monash.au](http://www.education.monash.au)

[www.biu.ac.il](http://www.biu.ac.il)

### **3. Contents of a Proposal:**

The web recommendations all have similar details for the preparations and the proposal contents:

**Preparation:**

**Step 1: write for application forms and guidelines  
if not available on the Internet**

**Step 2: read the guidelines**

**Step 3: call a past grantee for advice**

**Step 4: call a past reviewer**

**Step 5: contact the program officer**

# 3. Contents of a Proposal:

## Proposal Writing:

- 3.1. Introduction**
- who are you
  - goals
  - prove your credibility
  - state the problem

- 3.2. Problem Statement and Need**
- demonstrate your understanding
  - focus on project
  - relation to larger problems
  - importance of project
  - feasibility to solve the problem
  - aim to be reached

# 3. Contents of a Proposal:

## Proposal Writing:

**3.3. Objectives** - specify the end product (specific, measurable, practical, logical)

**3.4. Methods** - data collection, use

**3.5. Evaluation** - new knowledge in topic

**3.6. Budget and justification**

**3.7. National benefit**

### **3. Contents of a Proposal:**

**A letter proposal for a private sponsor may not need any forms. It should contain a similar (shorter outline):**

#### **Part 1 Summary**

- self identification**
- uniqueness**
- sponsor expectations**
- budget**

#### **Part 2 Sponsor Appeal**

- why to approach this sponsor**

#### **Part 3 Problem**

#### **Part 4 Solution**

#### **Part 5 Capabilities**

- demonstrate credibility**

#### **Part 6 Budget and justification**

#### **Part 7 Conclusion**

**sign by “heavy weight person“ as leader**

## 4. Evaluation Process:

As a rule all applications are reviewed by a group of experts.

Why are proposals rejected?

**Problem Statement 58%**

- problem not important
- problem too complex
- only of local significance
- premature

**Approach 75%**

- methods unsuited
- description too nebulous
- not thought out

**Investigator 55%**

- not sufficient experience
- unfamiliar with literature
- poor publication record

**Other 16%**

- resource assessment unrealistic

## 4. Evaluation Process (cont):

- Often success rate is very low – 20-25%
  - Evaluators read hundreds of applications that are not directly in their fields of expertise
  - Need to make the application clear otherwise the evaluator will not understand the project and will rate it poorly
  - Need to be innovative in development of the project
  - Publication record is very important
- 

## 5. Do's and Don'ts:

- Do:**
- add interesting technology components to proven ideas
  - know how to fit into past and current projects
  - involve a team
  - proofread the submission thoroughly

- Don't**
- say little is known or done
  - think you know everything
  - confuse objectives with actions
  - define objectives you do not wish to achieve
  - use abbreviations
  - focus on the “cutting edge“
  - request funding for basic operations